Case 3:22-cv-00514-CWR-FKB Document 1-1 Filed 09/06/22 Page 1 of 9

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PAULA PRISOCK PLAINTIFF

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 22-514

WALMART, INC., WAL-MART STORES EAST, L.P., WAL-MART ASSOCIATES, INC., WAL-MART STORES, INC. JOHN DOE PERSON (1), JOHN DOE PERSON(S) 2-5 JOHN DOE COMPANY(IES) 1-5 and JOHN DOE ENTITY(IES) 1-5

DEFENDANTS

COMPLAINT, PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS UNTO DEFENDANT, WAL-MART STORES, INC. (Jury Trial Requested)

Plaintiff Paula Prisock, by and through counsel, files her Complaint against Defendants Wal-Mart, Inc., Wal-Mart Stores East, L.P., Wal-Mart Associates, Inc., Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., ("Wal-Mart"), John Doe Person(s) 1-5, John Doe Company(ies) 1-5, and John Doe Entity(ies) 1-5, and in support hereof shows as follows:

I. Parties

- Plaintiff Paula Prisock is an adult resident citizen of Florence, Rankin County,
 Mississippi.
- 2. Defendant Wal-Mart, Inc. is a foreign corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 708 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AR 72716, and may be served with process of this Court on its Registered Agent, C.T. Corporation System at 645 Lakeland East Drive, Suite 101, Flowood, MS 39232.
- 3. Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, L.P. is a foreign corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 708 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AR



Case 3:22-cv-00514-CWR-FKB Document 1-1 Filed 09/06/22 Page 2 of 9

'Case: 25Cl1:22-cv-00514-WLK Document #: 6 Filed: 08/08/2022 Page 2 of 8

72716, and may be served with process of this Court on its Registered Agent, C.T. Corporation System at 645 Lakeland East Drive, Suite 101, Flowood MS 39232.

- 4. Defendant Wal-Mart Associates, Inc. is a foreign corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 708 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AR 72716, and may be served with process of this Court on its Registered Agent, C.T. Corporation System at 645 Lakeland East Drive, Suite 101, Flowood, MS 39232.
- Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. is a foreign corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 708 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AR 72716, and may be served with process of this Court on its Registered Agent, C.T. Corporation System at 645 Lakeland East Drive, Suite 101, Flowood, MS 39232.
- 6. Defendant Wal-Mart, Inc., Defendant, Wal-Mart Stores, East, L.P., Defendant Wal-Mart Associates, Inc. and Defendant Wal-Mart, Stores Inc. will be referred to collectively as Wal-Mart Defendants.
- 7. John Doe 1 was on April 20, 2020, the manager of Wal-Mart in Byram, Mississippi located at 131 Handley Blvd., Byram, MS 39272 and is an unknown person who may have been responsible for or contributed to the negligence alleged herein. He or she may be added as a named Defendant when his or her identity is known.
- 8. John Doe(s) 2-5 are unknown persons who may have been responsible for or contributed to the negligence alleged herein. They may be added as named defendants when their identities become known.
- 9. John Doe Company(ies) 1-5 are any unknown companies who may have been responsible for or contributed to the negligence alleged herein. They may be added as named defendants when their identities become known.

Case 3:22-cv-00514-CWR-FKB Document 1-1 Filed 09/06/22 Page 3 of 9

'Case: 25CI1:22-cv-00514-WLK Document #: 6 Filed: 08/08/2022 Page 3 of 8

10. John Doe Entity(ies) 1-5 are any unknown entities who may have been responsible for or contributed to the negligence alleged herein. They may be added as named defendants when their identities become known.

II. Jurisdiction and Venue

11. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. §§9-7-81 and 11-11-13, as this matter concerns damages in excess of two hundred dollars (\$200.00) and the injury that occurred in Hinds County, Mississippi.

III. Facts

- 12. On or about April 20, 2020, Plaintiff shopped at the Wal-Mart Supercenter #6469 located at 131 Handley Blvd, Byram, MS 39272, as a business invitee and acted with reasonable care for her own safety at all relevant times.
- 13. As Plaintiff was on her way to exit the store after leaving the register, Plaintiff slipped and fell on something wet in the walking area. While an employee was in this area, no signs were posted in the area warning Plaintiff of the hazard.
- 14. At all times relevant hereto, Supercenter #6469 was open to Plaintiff and to those members of the public at large who might go there as business invitees.
- 15. As owner and operator of Supercenter #6469, or through its agents or employees,
 Defendant Wal-Mart failed to properly maintain the area, creating the dangerous condition of a
 hazard. In the alternative Defendant, Wal-Mart thru its agents or employees failed to properly
 warn the Plaintiff of a known danger or a danger that it should have had knowledge about. In the
 alternative Defendant Wal-Mart failed to properly warn the Plaintiff of a danger that it had
 knowledge of or should have had knowledge of.

Case 3:22-cv-00514-CWR-FKB Document 1-1 Filed 09/06/22 Page 4 of 9

Case: 25Cl1:22-cv-00514-WLK Document #: 6 Filed: 08/08/2022 Page 4 of 8

IV. Negligence-Premises Liability

- 16. All allegations in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 17. Premises liability attaches when: "(1) a negligent act by the defendant caused the plaintiff's injury; or, (2) that defendant had actual knowledge of a dangerous condition, but failed to warn the plaintiff of the danger; or (3) the dangerous condition remained long enough to impute constructive knowledge to the defendant" *Byrne v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 877 So. 2d 462, 465 (Miss. Ct. App. 2003) (Citing *Downs v. Choo*, 656 So. 2d 84, 86 (Miss. 1995)). When the dangerous condition is created by the defendant or someone under its authority, proof of the defendant's knowledge of the dangerous condition is not required. *K-Mart Corp. v. Hardy*, So. 2d 975, 981 (Miss. 1999).
- 18. At all times material hereto, Defendants had a duty of care to keep the premises in a reasonably safe condition, such that business invitees might use them in an ordinary and reasonable way without danger, including, but not limited to:
 - a. To use reasonable and ordinary care to keep and maintain the premises in a reasonably safe condition;
 - To keep the premises free from defects and hazardous conditions that could reasonably and foreseeably cause harm and injury;
 - c. To take reasonable and necessary steps to warn of the existence of dangerous and hazardous conditions on the premises;
 - d. To take reasonably necessary steps to correct, eliminate, and prevent dangerous conditions existing on its premises; and

Case 3:22-cv-00514-CWR-FKB Document 1-1 Filed 09/06/22 Page 5 of 9

e. To use and exercise reasonable care to protect those who are business invitees on the premises and to correct and remedy discoverable conditions constituting hazards and danger on its premises.

- 19. Defendants failed to perform and violated or breached the duties they owed to Plaintiff, and such failures, violations, and breaches constituted negligence and/or gross negligence on the part of Defendants, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Failing to use reasonable care to keep and maintain the premises in a reasonably safe condition.
 - b. Failing to keep the premises free from defects and conditions that could reasonably and foreseeably cause injury to Plaintiff and others;
 - Failing to warn Plaintiff and others of the existing hazardous and dangerous conditions;
 - d. Failing to take reasonably necessary steps to correct, remedy, and prevent a hazardous condition on its premises which Defendants knew or should have known existed; and
 - e. Failing to exercise reasonable care to protect Plaintiff and to remedy or prevent reasonable discoverable conditions that were hazardous and dangerous to her while on said premises.
- 20. Specifically, Defendants' failure to properly inspect the floor, clean the wet floor or properly post warning signs regarding the wet condition with an employee in the area was a negligent act that created a dangerous hazard that caused Plaintiff's injuries. Defendants knew or should have known of the dangerous conditions created by the wet floor, and Defendants failed

Case 3:22-cv-00514-CWR-FKB Document 1-1 Filed 09/06/22 Page 6 of 9

Case: 25CI1:22-cv-00514-WLK Document #: 6 Filed: 08/08/2022 Page 6 of 8

to take remedial action to correct the dangerous conditions or warn Plaintiff of the dangerous conditions.

- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the above acts of negligence, and/or gross negligence, and breaches of legal duties owed to her by Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered compensatory damages, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Hospital bills, doctor bills, prescription drug bills, and other medical and medicalrelated expenses which have been incurred and which may continue to be incurred in the future;
 - b. Past, present, and future physical pain and suffering;
 - c. Past, present, and future emotional and mental suffering and anguish;
 - d. Temporary and permanent physical impairment or disability; and
 - e. Loss of enjoyment of life.
 - V. Negligence-The Store Manager is the Person in Charge of the Premises.
- 22. All allegations in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendant John Doe 1 was the store manager at the time of the incident referenced above. The Mississippi Supreme Court has found that "a person in charge of premises owes to an invitee or business visitor a duty of exercising reasonable or ordinary care to keep the premises in reasonably safe and suitable condition or of warning (the) invitee of dangerous conditions not readily apparent which (the) owner knows or should know of in the exercise of reasonable care." *Smith v. PetSmart, Inc.*, 278 Fed. Appx. 377, 380 (5th Cir. 2008) citing *Mayfield v. The Hairbender*, 903 So. 2d 733, 735-736 (Miss. 2005) (en banc).
- 24. Specifically, Defendant John Doe 1's (1) failure to have warning signs posted regarding the wet area, (2) failure to clean the floor properly, (3) failure to properly train Wal-Mart's

Case 3:22-cv-00514-CWR-FKB Document 1-1 Filed 09/06/22 Page 7 of 9

Case: 25Cl1:22-cv-00514-WLK Document #: 6 Filed: 08/08/2022 Page 7 of 8

employees to implement the proper policies and procedures regarding posting warning signs; (4) failure to train Wal-Mart's employees to implement the proper policies and procedures regarding inspecting the floor, and (5) failure to train Wal-Mart's employees to implement the proper policies and procedures regarding cleaning the floor were negligent acts which created a dangerous hazard that cause Plaintiff's injuries. Defendant John Doe 1 knew or should have known of the dangerous conditions created by the wet floor, and Defendant John Doe 1 failed to take remedial action to correct the dangerous conditions or warn Plaintiff of the dangerous

- 25. As a direct and proximate result of the above acts of negligence, and/or gross negligence, and breaches of legal duties owed to her by Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered compensatory damages, including but not limited to:
 - a. Hospital bills, doctor bills, prescription drug bills, and other medical and medicalrelated expenses which have been incurred and which may continue to be incurred in the future;
 - b. Past, present, and future physical pain and suffering;
 - c. Past, present, and future emotional and mental suffering an anguish;
 - d. Temporary and permanent physical impairment or disability; and
 - e. Loss of enjoyment of life.

conditions.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff requests a trial by jury and prays a judgment of, from, and against Defendants for a sum in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court, all costs and expenses associated with the prosecution of this matter, prejudgment and post judgment interest as permitted by law and for any further relief that this Court may deem just and proper.

Case 3:22-cv-00514-CWR-FKB Document 1-1 Filed 09/06/22 Page 8 of 9

Respectfully submitted on August 4, 2022.

PAULA PRISOCK, PLAINTIFF

By:

Robert F. Lingold, Jr. (MSB# 99620)

Ringer, Lingold, & Spencer

PREPARED BY:

Robert F. Lingold, Jr. RINGER, LINGOLD & SPENCER P.O. Box 937 Florence, MS 39073 Phone: 601-845-5050

Fax: 601-845-5060

Email: robert@lingoldspencer.com

Caco: 25011-22 cm		# 0 1 Filed 00/00/000	Dans 1 of 1
Cacover sheet	Court Identification Doc	#, 0-1 Filed, 00/00/2027 ket # Case Year	Docket Number
		case real	Docket Hulliber
Civil Case Filing Form	1125111110	工 2 の 1 2	
(To be completed by Attorney/	Party County# Judicial Co		
	1.5	ourt ID	
Prior to Filing of Pleading,) District (CH	, CI, CO)	
	1211	12	Local Docket ID
	100000		
Mississippi Supreme Court	orm AOC/01 Month Date	Year	
Administrative Office of Courts	(Rev 2020) This area to be complete	ed by clerk Ca	se Number if filed prior to 1/1/94
In the <u>CIRCUIT</u> Court of <u>HINDS</u> County — <u>FIRST</u> Judicial District			
Origin of Suit (Place an "X" in one box	only)		
	tated Foreign Judgment Enro	lled Transfer from Other co	ourt Other
X Initial Filing Reins			Unit United
Kemanded Reop	ened Joining Suit/Action	Appeal	
Plaintiff - Party(ies) Initially Bringing Suit Should Be Entered First - Enter Additional Plaintiffs on Separate Form			
Individual Prisock	Paula		
Last Name	First Name	Maiden Name, if applicat	ole M.I. Jr/Sr/III/IV
Check (x) if Individual Plainitiff	is acting in capacity as Executor(trix) or Adm	ninistrator(trix) of an Estate, and enter style:	
Estate of			
Check (x) if Individual Planitiff i	s acting in capacity as Business Owner/Oper	ator (d/b/a) or State Agency, and enter entit	V
D/D/A A			,

Business			
Enter legal n	ame of business, corporation, partnership,	agency - If Corporation, indicate the state wh	ere incorporated
7.00	filing suit in the name of an entity other tha		,
D/B/A	ming said in the name of an entity other the	in the above, and enter below.	
U/B/A			· · · · ·
Address of Plaintiff			
Annual Mana Caldren Day 15 1		110,00070	NG D NI 00000
Attorney (Name & Address) Robert F. I		MS 39073	MS Bar No. <u>99620</u>
Check (x) if Individual Filing Init	ial Pleading is NOT an attorney		
Signature of Individual Filing:			
Signature of maxidual rining.			
Defendant - Name of Defendant - Ente	r Additional Defendants on Separate F	orm	
•	Traditional Deletination of Departure 1		
Individual			
Last Name	First Name	Maiden Name, if applicab	le M.I. Jr/Sr/III/IV
Check (x) if Individual Defendar	nt is acting in capacity as Executor(trix) or A	dministrator(trix) of an Estate, and enter style	2:
Estate of			
Check (x) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity as Business Owner/Operator (d/b/a) or State Agency, and enter entity:			
200 2000 2000	it is acting in capacity as business Owner/O	perator (d/b/a) or State Agency, and enter er	itity.
D/B/A or Agency			
Business			
	ame of husiness cornoration partnership	agency - If Corporation, indicate the state wh	ere incornorated
Check (x) if Business Defendant is acting in the name of an entity other than the above, and enter below:			
D/B/A			
Attorney (Name & Address) - If Known			MS Bar No.
Check (x) if child support is contemp	plated as an issue in this suit.*	Alcohol/Drug Commitment (Voluntary)	Real Property
	Support Information Sheet with this Cover Sheet	Other	Adverse Possession
Nature of Suit (Place an "X" in one box		Children/Minors - Non-Domestic	Ejectment
		the state of the s	= '
Domestic Relations	Business/Commercial	Adoption - Contested	Eminent Domain
Child Custody/Visitation	Accounting (Business)	Adoption - Uncontested	L Eviction
Child Support	Business Dissolution	Consent to Abortion	Judiciał Foreclosure
Contempt	☐ Debt Collection	Minor Removal of Minority	Lien Assertion
Divorce:Fault	☐ Employment	Other	Partition
Divorce: Irreconcilable Diff.	Foreign Judgment	Civil Rights	Tax Sale: Confirm/Cancel
Domestic Abuse	Garnishment	Elections	
		<u> </u>	Title Boundary or Easement
Emancipation	Replevin	Expungement	Other
Modification	Other	Habeas Corpus	Torts
Paternity	Probate	Post Conviction Relief/Prisoner	Bad Faith
Property Division	Accounting (Probate)	Other	Fraud
Separate Maintenance	Birth Certificate Correction	Contract	Intentional Tort
Term. of Parental Rights-Chancery	Mental Health Commitment	☐ Breach of Contract	
UIFSA (eff 7/1/97; formerly URESA)	Conservatorship	Installment Contract	Loss of Consortium
	Guardianship		Malpractice - Legal
Other		Insurance	Malpractice - Medical
Appeals	Joint Conservatorship & Guardianship	Specific Performance	Mass Tort
Administrative Agency	Heirship	Other	X Negligence - General
County Court	Intestate Estate	Statutes/Rules	Negligence - Motor Vehicle
Hardship Petition (Driver License)	Minor's Settlement	Bond Validation	Premises Liability
Justice Court	Muniment of Title	Civil Forfeiture	=
MS Dept Employment Security	Name Change	Declaratory Judgment	Product Liability
I I was pebr curbindanieur secourta	The state of the s	1 Decidiatory Addition	Subrogation
Municipal Court	Testate Estate	Injunction or Pactraining Order	
Municipal Court Other	Testate Estate Will Contest	Injunction or Restraining Order Other	Wrongful Death